

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,199	08/29/2001	Mark S. Anvick	Y01-040	6969
7	7590 09/25/2002			-
Kenneth W. Float The Law Offices of Kenneth W. Float P.O. Box 80790 Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688			EXAMINER	
			FLANDRO, RYAN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	1
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summans	09/942,199	ANVICK, MARK S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ryan M Flandro	3679			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	r election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 August 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/942,199

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the first page of the specification is not numbered. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(5), the pages of the specification including claims and abstract must be numbered consecutively, **starting with 1**, the numbers being centrally located above or preferably, below, the text. See MPEP 608.01. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Applicant should note that the listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "...which tab a thickness..." in line 12 of the claim is unclear. For examination purposes, the Examiner has read the limitation as -said tab having a thickness-. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,199

Art Unit: 3679

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. Claim 1 recites the limitation "that is exposed" at line 5 of the claim and the limitation "and that has a depth" at line 6 of the claim. It is unclear whether these limitations are referring to the cavity in line 4 or the contour in line 5.
- b. Claims 6 and 12 recite the limitation "that comprises a cavity" in line 3 of each claim. It is unclear whether the phrase is meant to limit the first member, the first and second opposed surfaces, or the first predetermined thickness. For purposes of examination, the Examiner has read the phrase as -said first member comprising a cavity-. Claims 6 and 12 also recite the limitation "that is exposed" at line 4 of the claim (2X) and the limitation "and that has a depth" at line 5 of each claim. It is unclear whether these limitations are referring to the cavity in line 3 or the contour in line 4.

Art Unit: 3679

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grisley (US 5,114,265).
- a. Claim 1. Grisley shows, in figures 4 and 5, a first member 13 having a predetermined shape, first and second opposed surfaces, and a first predetermined thickness. Grisley further shows a cavity 24 formed in the first member 13 that has a predetermined inner partially curved contour, that is exposed at the first surface of the first member 13 and along a portion of an edge of the first member 13, and that has a depth that extends a predetermined distance below the first surface, and wherein the depth of the cavity 24 is a predetermined portion of the thickness of the first member 13 (see figure 8; column 4 lines 28-34). Grisley further shows a second member 12 having a predetermined shape, first and second opposed surfaces, and a second predetermined thickness, and having a tab 22 with an outer partially curved contour that substantially matches the inner contour of the cavity 24 in the first member 13 so that the tab 22 fits within the cavity 24 (see figures 4 and 5; column 4 lines 35-38), and [said tab 24 having a thickness] that substantially matches the depth of the cavity 24 formed in the first member 13.
- b. Claim 6. Grisley shows, in figures 4 and 5, a first member 13 having a predetermined shape, first and second opposed surfaces, and a first predetermined thickness that comprises a cavity 24 having a predetermined inner contour, that is exposed at the first surface, that is

Application/Control Number: 09/942,199

Art Unit: 3679

exposed along a portion of an edge of the first member 13, and that has a depth that extends a predetermined distance below the first surface (see figures 4 and 5). Grisley further shows a second member 12 having a predetermined shape, first and second opposed surfaces, and a second predetermined thickness, that comprises a tab 22 with an outer contour that substantially matches the inner contour of the cavity 24 and that fits within the cavity 24 (see figures 4 and 5; column 4 lines 35-38).

- c. Claim 12. Grisley shows, in figures 4 and 5, a first member 13 having a predetermined shape, first and second opposed surfaces, and a first predetermined thickness that comprises a cavity 24 having a predetermined inner partially curved contour, that is exposed at the first surface, that is exposed along a portion of an edge of the first member 13, and that has a depth that extends a predetermined distance below the first surface (see figures 4 and 5). Grisley further shows a second member 12 having a predetermined shape, first and second opposed surfaces, and a second predetermined thickness, that comprises a tab 22 with an outer partially curved contour that substantially matches the inner partially curved contour of the cavity 24 and that fits within the cavity 24 (see figures 4 and 5; column 4 lines 35-38).
- d. Claims 2, 8, and 14. Grisley further shows that the thicknesses of the first and second members 13, 12 (respectively) are substantially the same (see figures 4 and 5).
- e. Claims 7 and 13. Grisley further shows that the depth of the cavity 24 and the thickness of the tab 22 are substantially the same (see figures 4 and 5).
- f. Claims 3 and 9. Grisley further shows the inner contour of the cavity **24** and the outer contour of the tab **22** are sized to allow a glue to be disposed therebetween.

Art Unit: 3679

- g. Claims 4 and 16. Grisley also discloses that the inner partially curved contour of the cavity 24 and the outer partially curved contour of the tab 22 have the shape of a piece of a puzzle (see figures 4 and 5; column 3 lines 63-64).
- h. Claim 10. Grisley also discloses that the inner contour of the cavity **24** and the outer contour of the tab **22** have the shape of a piece of a puzzle (see figures 4 and 5; column 3 lines 63-64).
- i. Claims 5 and 17. Grisley also shows that the inner partially curved contour of the cavity **24** and the outer partially curved contour of the tab **22** have the shape of a molar tooth (see figures 4 and 5).
- j. Claim 11. Grisley also shows that the inner contour of the cavity 24 and the outer contour of the tab 22 have the shape of a molar tooth (see figures 4 and 5).

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to puzzle joint systems:
 - U.S. Patent 6,076,575 to Harkness
 - U.S. Patent 5,682,935 to Bustamante
 - U.S. Patent 5,588,726 to Lee
 - U.S. Patent 4,875,510 to Muellers
 - U.S. Patent 4,809,755 to Pontikas
 - U.S. Patent 4,025,215 to Murdock et al

Art Unit: 3679

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan M Flandro whose telephone number is (703) 305-6952. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:30pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Ryan M. Flandro September 20, 2002

> Greg Binda Primary Patent Examiner Technology Center 3670